

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/936,692 09/17/2001		Peter X. Ma	UMJ-105-B (UM1667)	9241
29296	7590 06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
	RCH DIERKER ASSOCIATES, P.C.		SZEKELY.	PETER A
3331 W. BIG BEAVER RD. SUITE 109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 48084-2813			1714	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10	Α.
	,-

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/936,692	MA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Peter Szekely	1714

before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Peter Szekely	1714						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the								
following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date or	f the final rejection							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		THE THE TANGETTE	<i>-</i>					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any each since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		£'						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendr	ient canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		rill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>12,16,17,26,30 and 31</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-11,13-15,18-25 and 27-29.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	Judoes NOT place the application I	n condition for allowa	ince because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
		Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although componers are described in the specification, there is no statement that the inventive composition is a componer, it is consistently described as an ionomer composite. Applicants are trying to exclude water as an ingredient by changing the name of the inventive composition and that, without explicit antecedent basis, constitutes new matter..